



Development And Validation Of HPLC Method For Determination Of Bortezomib In Pharmaceutical Preparation

Lincy Joseph*¹, Mathew George¹, Akshay Kumar², Surekha SR¹

¹Pushpagiry College Of Pharmacy, Thiruvalla-7, Kerala, India

²School of Pharmaceutical Science, Jaipur National University, Rajasthan.

ABSTRACT

In this work an assay method for Bortezomib and its validation by using HPLC was carried out. Bortezomib is a new drug not much analytical work is done over it. And it is an anticancer drug and is very toxic too. So an adequate estimation of drug in dosage forms is very essential. So here we will develop and validate HPLC method to estimate Bortezomib available in injection form. We will develop an accurate, reliable and economical method to estimate Bortezomib.

Key-word : Bortezomib, HPLC validation, UVspectrophotometer, precision, accuracy.

INTRODUCTION

In recent years the international conference on harmonization of technical requirement for registration of pharmaceuticals for human use (ICH) has adopted Scientific standards for quality control monitoring¹. These standards are the basis for most regulatory guidelines, including those published by the food and drug administration². Key steps on the path include pharmaceutical analysis and stability studies that are required to determine and assure the identity, potency and purity of ingredients, as well as those formulated products. Impurities are the unwanted chemicals that remain with active pharmaceutical ingredients. The presence of these chemicals may influence the efficacy and safety of the pharmaceutical products. So impurity profiling is now getting important critical attention from regulatory authorities.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Bortezomib injection is used for the validation process. Main equipments used are HPLC, UV spectrophotometer, column of 250×4.6mm 10μ, packing L11, acetonitrile, THF, water (double distilled), methanol (HPLC grade) are used as solvents.

Methods

Standard testing procedure³

Examined by liquid chromatography, column hypersil of 250×4.6mm 10μ packing L 11, flow rate 1

ml per minute, load 20 μ l,detect at 280nm,run time 10 minutes, solvent HPLC grade water.

Standard preparation: 35 mg of Bortezomib standard in 100 ml water.

Sample preparation: vial make up to 10 ml with water.

Validation

A sample solution shall be prepared and injected as described under method of analysis. System suitability parameters shall be calculated and recorded in table.

Acceptance criteria

Tailing factor; tailing factor of Bortezomib peak should not be less than 1.5.

Theoretical plate; theoretical plate for Bortezomib peak should not be less than 2500.

Acceptance criteria; relative standard deviation of Bortezomib peak should not be more than 2.0%

Precision⁴

Method precision shall be established by determining the assay in six different preparations of a homogenous batch of Bortezomib. The average standard deviation and relative standard deviation shall be calculated and tabulated in the table.

Acceptance criteria; relative standard deviation of assay obtained for 6 different preparations should not be more than 2%.

System precision shall be evaluated by performing 6 replicate injections of sample solution of bortezomib at its target concentration .The individual peak responses shall be recorded and the average ,standard deviation and relative standard shall be calculated and recorded in table.

Acceptance criteria; relative standard deviation of retention times and areas of peak shall not be more than 2%.

Accuracy⁵

Accuracy can be determined by adding known amount of standard solution in the range 75-125 % of the target concentration.

Acceptance criteria; the percent recovery should be within 98-102%of the theoretical value.

Limit detection⁶

$$\text{Limit detection (conc.ppm)} = \frac{3.3 \times \text{solution B conc (PPM)}}{\text{S/N}}$$

Acceptance criteria; RSD % for area of Bortezomib is not more than 2.0%.

Limit quantification

$$\text{Limit quantification (conc.PPM)} = \frac{10.0 \times \text{solution B conc. (PPM)}}{\text{S/N}}$$

Acceptance criteria; RSD % of area of Bortezomib is not more than 10.0%

Robustness⁷

Stability study of solution shall be performed by injecting a solution of stability at its target 0,6,12 and 24 hrs at room temperature and refrigerator. The variation areas observed shall as studied and recorded in table.

Acceptance criteria ; % RSD for area should not be more than 2.0 % .percent relative difference for average area with respect to initial average area should not be more than 2.0%.

Flow rate⁸

Stabilize the instrument with 1.1 ml/min flow rate. Inject six times sample preparation .and record chromatograms .Observe the theoretical plates, tailing factor, and RSD % for bortezomib peak.

Acceptance criteria; the method shall be considered to be validated when results obtained in validation comply with the acceptance criteria describe each test and related documents are checked and verified.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

System Suitability

Table 1

| Name | RT | Tailing Factor | Theoretical Plate |
|------------|-------|----------------|-------------------|
| BORTEZOMIB | 6.560 | 1.04283 | 5028 |

Table 2

| Replicates | Area |
|-------------|-------------|
| Replicate 1 | 56774183 |
| Replicate 2 | 55568983 |
| Replicate 3 | 56269534 |
| Replicate 4 | 56167745 |
| Replicate 5 | 56498127 |
| Replicate 6 | 56539954 |
| Average | 56303087.67 |

Symmetry factor: Tailing factor for BORTEZOMIB injection peak should not be more than 1.5

Theoretical Plate: Theoretical plate for BORTEZOMIB injection peak should not be less than 2500

Acceptance Criteria: Relative Standard Deviation for BORTEZOMIB injection peak should not be more than 2.0%

Table 3 System Precision

| Replicates | RT | Area |
|--------------------------------|-------|-------------|
| 1 | 3.378 | 56765898 |
| 2 | 3.377 | 56987651 |
| 3 | 3.381 | 56687456 |
| 4 | 3.380 | 56777754 |
| 5 | 3.386 | 56672485 |
| 6 | 3.376 | 56172584 |
| Average | 3.380 | 56677304.67 |
| Standard deviation | 0.004 | 271706.79 |
| Relative standard deviation(%) | 0.107 | 0.479 |

Acceptance criteria : Relative standard deviation of retention times and areas of peak shall not be more than 2.0%

Table 4 Method Precision

| Replicates | Assay (%) |
|-----------------------------|------------|
| 1 | 100.037 |
| 2 | 99.995 |
| 3 | 100.068 |
| Average | 100.033333 |
| Standard deviation | 0.03663787 |
| Relative Standard Deviation | 0.03662566 |

Acceptance criteria: RSD% of assay obtained for 6 different preparations should not be more than 2.0%

$$\text{Calculation} = \frac{\text{Test solution Average area}}{\text{Standard solution average area}} \times \frac{\text{Standard solution wt. (mg)}}{\text{Test Solution (mg)}} \text{ Std}$$

$$= \frac{56850248 \times 35.2 \times 99.6 \times 10}{56907517 \times 100 \times 100} \text{ Assay-} = 100.068\%$$

Assay-2

$$= \frac{56808571 \times 35.2 \times 99.6 \times 10}{56907517 \times 100 \times 100} = 99.995\%$$

Assay-3

$$= \frac{56832305 \times 35.2 \times 99.6 \times 10}{56907517 \times 100 \times 100} = 100.068\%$$

Table 5 Intermediate Precision

| Sl. No. | Date | Equipment | Analyst | Results |
|--|------|-----------|---------|---------|
| 1 | | HPLC003 | | 99.99 |
| 2 | | HPLC005 | | 100.04 |
| Average | | | | 100.02 |
| Standard deviation | | | | 0.04 |
| Relative standard deviation (%) | | | | 0.04 |

Acceptance criteria : Relative standard deviation of assay obtained should not be more than 2.0%

$$\text{Calculation} = \frac{\text{Test solution Average area}}{\text{Standard solution average area}} \times \frac{\text{Standard solution wt. (mg)}}{\text{Test Solution (mg)}} \text{ Std}$$

Table 6 Accuracy / Recovery

| Sl. No. | Injection | Proposed concentration to be spiked (% of specification limit) | Spiked quantity of BORTEZOMIB | |
|---------|-------------|--|-------------------------------|--------------|
| | | | Area Observed | Recovery (%) |
| 1.0 | Replicate 1 | 75.0% | 42879388 | 100.286% |
| | Replicate 2 | | 42588440 | |
| | Replicate 3 | | 42709511 | |
| 2.0 | Replicate 1 | 100.0% | 56799565 | 101.154% |
| | Replicate 2 | | 57405609 | |
| | Replicate 3 | | 56776009 | |
| 3.0 | Replicate 1 | 125.0% | 71165873 | 100.229% |
| | Replicate 2 | | 70899871 | |
| | Replicate 3 | | 70989547 | |

Average Accuracy: 100.55%

Acceptance criteria : The percent recovery should be within 98-102% of the theoretical value.

Table 7 Linearity & Range

| Sl. No. | Concentration (%) | Area |
|---------|-------------------|-------|
| 1.0 | 25% | 16044 |
| 2.0 | 50% | 32145 |
| 3.0 | 75% | 49009 |
| 4.0 | 100% | 65050 |
| 5.0 | 125% | 81263 |

| | | |
|-----|------|-------|
| 6.0 | 150% | 97243 |
|-----|------|-------|

Acceptance Criteria : Correlation coefficient should not be less than 0.98

Limit of detection

Table 8 **Blank Solution**

| Sl. No. | Noise at RT (N) 2.5 to 5 min |
|---------|------------------------------|
| 01 | 190 |
| 02 | 235 |
| 03 | 210 |
| 04 | 245 |
| Average | 220 |

Table 9 **Sample solution**

| Sl. No. | Signal of BORTEZOMIB (S) |
|---------|--------------------------|
| 01 | 179204 |
| 02 | 157833 |
| 03 | 160929 |
| 04 | 180167 |
| 05 | 170983 |
| 06 | 155082 |
| Average | 167366.333 |

$$\text{BORTEZOMIB S/N} = 760.756061$$

$$\text{LOD concentration of BORTEZOMIB (PPM)} = 0.022$$

LOD Sol.- 10mg in 100ml then 1ml of this in 100ml again 2.2ml diluted to 100ml with Water

Table 10

| Sl. No. | BORTEZOMIB | | |
|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|-------------|
| | Concentration (PPM) | Retention Time | Area |
| 1 | 0.022 | 3.389 | 9105 |
| 2 | 0.022 | 3.394 | 9386 |
| 3 | 0.022 | 3.399 | 9249 |
| 4 | 0.022 | 3.359 | 9527 |
| 5 | 0.022 | 3.371 | 9647 |
| 6 | 0.022 | 3.366 | 9689 |
| Average | - | 3.380 | 9433.833333 |
| Standard Deviation | - | 0.016 | 229.7010 |
| % of RSD | - | 0.487 | 2.435 |

Acceptance criteria: RSD % for area of BORTEZOMIB not more than 10.0%

Table 11**Limit of quantification Blank Solution**

| Sl. No. | Noise at RT (N) 2.5 to 5 min |
|---------|------------------------------|
| 01 | 190 |
| 02 | 235 |
| 03 | 210 |
| 04 | 245 |
| 05 | 220 |
| 06 | 190 |
| Average | 0.8616085 |

Table 12 Sample solution

| Sl. No. | Signal of BORTEZOMIB (S) |
|---------|--------------------------|
| 01 | 179204 |
| 02 | 157833 |
| 03 | 160929 |
| 04 | 180167 |
| 05 | 170983 |
| 06 | 155082 |
| Average | 167366.333 |

BORTEZOMIB S/N = 760.756061

LOQ concentration of BORTEZOMIB (PPM) = 0.066 PPM

LOQ Sol. 10mg in 100ml then 1ml of this in 100ml again 6.6ml diluted to 100ml with Water

Table13

| Sl. No. | BORTEZOMIB | | |
|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------|
| | Concentration (PPM) | Retention Time | Area |
| 1 | 0.066 PPM | 3.392 | 16044 |
| 2 | | 3.377 | 16190 |
| 3 | | 3.395 | 16342 |
| 4 | | 3.369 | 16489 |
| 5 | | 3.383 | 16575 |
| 6 | | 3.401 | 16799 |
| Average | | 3.386 | 16406.5 |
| Standard Deviation | | 0.012 | 272.6512 |

| | | | |
|----------|--|-------|-------|
| % of RSD | | 0.355 | 1.662 |
|----------|--|-------|-------|

Acceptance criteria: RSD% for area of BORTEZOMIB not more than 10.0%

Stability of analytical solutions

Table 14 Room Temperature

| Time of Injection | Area | Average Area |
|-------------------|----------|--------------|
| Initial | 56799565 | 57102587 |
| | 57405609 | |
| 6 hours | 56729878 | 56757222 |
| | 56784565 | |
| 12 hours | 56974291 | 56875590 |
| | 56776888 | |
| 24 hours | 56498127 | 56519041 |
| | 56539954 | |
| % RSD | | 0.43 |

Table 15 Refrigerator

| Time of Injection | Average Area |
|-------------------|--------------|
| Initial | 56587456 |
| 6 hours | 56733754 |
| 12 hours | 56662485 |
| 24 hours | 56172584 |
| % RSD = 0.385 | |

Acceptance criteria : % RSD for area should not be more than 2.0%

Table 16 Different column

| STANDARD PARAMETER | | | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| Sl. No. | Retention Time | Area | Theoretical Plates | Tailing Factor |
| 1 | 3.382 | 56774183 | 7325 | 1.20286 |
| 2 | 3.387 | 55568983 | 7335 | 1.21956 |
| 3 | 3.379 | 56269534 | 7392 | 1.20251 |
| 4 | 3.381 | 56167745 | 7389 | 1.20144 |
| 5 | 3.383 | 56498127 | 7393 | 1.20164 |
| 6 | 3.380 | 56539954 | 7388 | 1.20158 |
| Average | 3.382 | 56303087.7 | 7370.333333 | 1.204765 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.003 | 418193.7259 | 31.4558 | 0.0073 |
| % of RSD | 0.084 | 0.743 | 0.427 | 0.603 |

Table 17

Column No.: 0383962Q

| Sl. No. | Retention Time | Area | Theoretical Plates | Tailing Factor |
|----------------|-----------------------|-------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | 3.378 | 56765898 | 7441 | 1.20430 |
| 2 | 3.377 | 56987651 | 7405 | 1.20199 |
| 3 | 3.381 | 56687456 | 7399 | 1.20201 |
| 4 | 3.380 | 56777754 | 7397 | 1.20159 |
| 5 | 3.386 | 56672485 | 7388 | 1.20211 |
| 6 | 3.376 | 56172584 | 7379 | 1.20183 |
| Average | 3.380 | 56677304.67 | 7401.5 | 1.202305 |

| | | | | |
|--------------------|-------|-------------|---------|--------|
| Standard Deviation | 0.004 | 271706.7993 | 21.3892 | 0.0010 |
| % of RSD | 0.107 | 0.479 | 0.289 | 0.083 |

Table 18

Column No.: 0186698Q

| Sl. No. | Retention Time | Area | Theoretical Plates | Tailing Factor |
|--------------------|----------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------|
| 1 | 3.382 | 56774183 | 7325 | 1.20286 |
| 2 | 3.387 | 55568983 | 7335 | 1.21956 |
| 3 | 3.379 | 56269534 | 7392 | 1.20251 |
| 4 | 3.381 | 56167745 | 7389 | 1.20144 |
| 5 | 3.383 | 56498127 | 7393 | 1.20164 |
| 6 | 3.380 | 56539954 | 7388 | 1.20158 |
| Average | 3.382 | 56303087.7 | 7370.333333 | 1.204765 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.003 | 418193.7259 | 31.4558 | 0.0073 |
| % of RSD | 0.084 | 0.743 | 0.427 | 0.603 |

Variation in Flow Rate**Table 19** CHANGED PARAMETER: 1.1 ml Flow

| Sl. No. | Retention Time | Area |
|---------|----------------|------------|
| 1 | 3.066 | 2693736 |
| 2 | 3.059 | 2677588 |
| 3 | 3.056 | 2679136 |
| 4 | 3.070 | 2694555 |
| Average | 3.063 | 2686253.75 |

| | | |
|--------------------|-------|---------|
| Standard Deviation | 0.006 | 9140.61 |
| % of RSD | 0.209 | 0.340 |

Table 20 **0.9ml flow**

| Sl. No. | Retention Time | Area |
|--------------------|----------------|------------|
| 1 | 3.751 | 3271642 |
| 2 | 3.759 | 3260736 |
| 3 | 3.745 | 3298334 |
| 4 | 3.761 | 3225567 |
| Average | 3.754 | 3264069.75 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.007 | 30138.31 |
| % of RSD | 0.197 | 0.923 |

Table 21 **pH Increase**

| Sl. No. | Retention Time | Area |
|--------------------|----------------|------------|
| 1 | 3.737 | 3487000 |
| 2 | 3.741 | 3412360 |
| 3 | 3.731 | 3462008 |
| 4 | 3.749 | 3449087 |
| Average | 3.740 | 3452613.75 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.008 | 31109.85 |
| % of RSD | 0.202 | 0.901 |

Table 22 **pH Decrease**

| Sl. No. | Retention Time | Area |
|--------------------|----------------|------------|
| 1 | 3.066 | 2794283 |
| 2 | 3.061 | 2769027 |
| 3 | 3.069 | 2774663 |
| 4 | 3.070 | 2780150 |
| Average | 3.067 | 2779530.75 |
| Standard Deviation | 0.004 | 10832.60 |
| % of RSD | 0.132 | 0.404 |

CONCLUSION

As we know Bortezomib is an anticancer drug and it is very toxic, so to control and estimate the accurate amount of drug in injection form we developed and validate HPLC method. In this method we used acetonitrile and water in major quantity and tetrahydrofuran in minor quantity. This method is quite reliable because it justifies all the validation parameters. It is an economical method because it consumes chemicals that are not so expensive and also consumes fewer amounts of chemicals due to short run time. It is also a beneficial method because it consumes mixtures of acetonitrile and water. This mixture is very useful in increasing the life of column. In this way we can say that this method is quite reliable, accurate, economical and beneficial too.

REFERENCES

- [1] ICH Q1A, Stability testing of new drug substances and products (1993).
- [2] FDA; Q1A, Stability testing of new drug substances and products (2001).
- [3] ICH; Q3A (R), Draft revised guidance on impurities in new drug substances 65 (2000) 45085.
- [4] ANDAs, impurities in drug products, guidance for industry, US Food & Drug Administration, Centre for Drug Evaluation and Research, Department of Health and Human Services (1998).
- [5] ICH: Q3C, Guidelines for residual solvents 62 (1997) 67377.
- [6] The United States Pharmacopoeia, 24 USP. Convention Inc. Rockville, 2000, pp:1876-2049.
- [7] Draft guidance Analytical Procedure and Methods Validation. US Food and Drug Administration, Centre for Drug and Biologics, Departments of Health and Human Services (2000).
- [8] J.C Gautier M Navet, P. Algranti, M. Guilloteau, M. Histe, M. Lallier, J.J.N" Guyen-Huu, S.T.P. Pharma pratiques 2, 1992, 205.