

**Scientia Research Library** 

**ISSN 2348-0416 USA CODEN: JASRHB** Journal of Applied Science And Research, 2014, 2 (6):65-77

(http://www.scientiaresearchlibrary.com/arhcive.php)

## **Dissolution of Inorganic Phosphates by Microorganisms isolated from the cold** desert habitat of Seabuckthorn (Hippophae rhamnoides) in Trans-Himalayas of **Himachal Pradesh of India**

Anshu S. Chatli<sup>1\*</sup> and V. Beri<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Microbiology, Guru Nanak Girls College, Ludhiana 141001 <sup>2</sup>Department of Soils, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana 141 004

#### ABSTRACT

Phosphate solubilisation efficacies of four bacterial and four fungal isolates were tested on different media after isolating from the soils of Lahaul and Spiti valleys. Amongest bacterial isolates, HBP1 and in fungal isolates, HFP1, represented appreciate solubilisation of TCP followed by MRP and URP and represented maximum fall in pH of filterate in NBRIP broth. The HBP1 solubilised maximum TCP at pH 9 and HFP1 at pH 5. Moreover, HBP1 proved to be the most efficient strain in solubilizing TCP in the presence of 2.5% w/v NaCl. HFP1 fungal isolate represented highest potential to solubilize TCP in control than all other concentrations. The HBP1 and HFP1 solubilised maximum P at 24°C temperatures.

Key words: Hippophae rhamnoides, Phosphate solubiliser, Lahul, Spiti.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Phosphorus (P) is one of the major essential macronutrient for biological growth and development. A large portion of inorganic P applied to soil as fertilizer is rapidly immobilized soon after application and becomes unavailable to plants. Plant growth promoting microbial formulations in the form of P solubilising microbes (PSM) can overcome this problem by dissoluting these immobilized products (Dey, 1988). The solubilisation of insoluble phosphates in soil is due to acidification, chelation and exchange reactions (Gerke, 1992; Vazquez et al, 2000). Organic acids which bind phosphate anions bring about phosphate in solution (Nautiyal et al, 2000) and improve soil fertility. Therefore the pH dependent release of insoluble and fixed form of P is an important aspect of increasing soil P availability.

The cold desert in Lahaul and Spiti valleys, located between latitude 31° 42′ 35″ East in Indian trans-Himalayas, is marked by stressful environment and rugged terrain with poor availability of some essential mineral nutrients in soil. Consequently, these valleys have a sparse vegetation cover. Pretransplant fortification of nursery plants with suitable native microbial inoculants appears imperative for restoring ecological balance by checking desertification through afforestation. Seabuckthorn (Hippophae rhamnoides) is ecologically the most important plant in the cold desert region with the highest Importance Value Index (Singh and Gupta, 1990). Plantation of the

spinescent shrub-tree has been taken to avoid erosion and degradation in the fragile mountaneous system in these valleys. The paper reports on the screening and selection of phosphate solubilising bacteria and fungi for the development of microbial additives for application in afforestation. Information on occurrence and activity of these microorganisms in the soils of cold desert in Lahaul and Spiti valleys is lacking altogether.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Soil sampling, physicochemical properties and Population Enumeration

Soil samples (0-15 cm. depth) collected from the rhizosphere and non-rhizosphere of *Hippophae rhamnoides* at Trilokinath, Jhalma, Theorat, Keylong, Kukumseri and Rong Tong in Lahaul and Spiti valleys of Himachal Pradesh were examined for physicochemical properties viz. pH, Electrical

conductivity, organic carbon, available P, K and total N following standard AOAC methods. Total bacterial and fungal population were determined by serial dilutions on Nutrient Agar medium (NAM) and Potato Dextrose agar (PDA), respectively.

#### **Isolation of Phosphate solubilising Microorganisms**

Phosphate dissolving bacteria and fungi were isolated and enumerated by employing Pikovskaya (PVK) (Pikovskaya, 1948), modified Pikovskaya (MPVK) (Gupta *et al*, 1994) and National Botanical Research Institute (NBRIP) agar (Nautiyal, 1999), respectively to total number of colonies of bacteria and fungi obtained on NAM and PDA, respectively, using the same soil dilutions. The PSM isolated from different locations are represented below:

Location		Bacteria	Fungi
Trilokinath	R	-	HFP3 (Penicillium sp.)
	NR	-	-
Jhalma	R	-	-
	NR	-	HFP2 (Unidentified)
Theorat	R	HBP1 (Bacillus sp.)	-
	NR	-	-
Keylong	R	HBP2 (Unidentified)	HFP1 (Penicillium sp.)
	NR	-	-
Kukumseri	R	HBP3 (Bacillus sp.)	-
	NR	-	HFP4 (Aspergillus sp.)
Rong Tong	R	HBP4 (Micrococcus)	-
	NR	-	-
D1 ND	NT		

R = Rhizosphere, NR = Non-rhizosphere

#### **Phosphate Solubilising Efficiency of Culture Isolates**

 $10^6$  bacterial cells and 3X  $10^6$  fungal spores/ml were inoculated in 100ml PVK and NBRIP broths supplemented with tri calcium phosphate (TCP) (18.5 % P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>), Mussoorie rock phosphate (MRP) (21.1 % P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) and Udaipur rock phosphate (URP) (23.3 % P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>), respectively. The phosphate sources were added @ 5 g/l after 4-5 washings with 5N sodium bicarbonate and then dried at  $40^0$  C for 24 hours. These microbes were incubated for 5 days under shake at 250 rpm. Uninoculated broth served as control. The solubilised P was determined in clear filtrate using Ascorbic acid method (Watanabe and Olsen, 1965). The intensity of blue colour was measured on spectrophotometer at 730 nm and the quantity of P solubilised was expressed as  $\mu$ g/ml. The final pH of culture filtrate was also determined.

#### Analysis of organic acids

The organisms were grown in PVK broth supplemented with TCP for 5 days under shake at  $28\pm2^{\circ}$ C. Qualitative analysis of organic acids was done by paper chromatography using solvent n-butanol: acetic acid: water (12:3:5) (Nordmann and Nordmann., 1960).

The P solubilising microbes were selected for P dissolution at various pH values (5, 6, 7, 8, 9), NaCl concentrations (2.5, 5, 7.5 and 10 % w/v) and temperature regimes ( $9^0$ ,  $12^0$ ,  $18^0$ ,  $24^0$ ,  $35^0$  and  $40^0$  C). The highly efficient microbes were also used in combinations for testing their P dissolution abilities.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Total eighteen soil samples, three from each subclass of every location viz. Trilokinath, Jhalma, Theorat, Keylong and Kukumseri from Lahaul valley while Rong Tong from Spiti valley were examined for physico-chemical properties. The soils of Lahaul and Spiti valleys were reported to be neutral to slightly alkaline with pH values 6.9 to 7.6. The electrical conductivity (E.C.) values ranged in between 0.35-0.69 mmhos/cm indicating that the nature of soil is normal. The organic matter content varied in between 0.09-1.22%, available P 30.2-38.6 Kg/ha, available K 145.6-310.8 Kg/ha and total Nitrogen 0.026-0.082 % (Table 1).

Phosphate solubilising bacteria and fungi were detected in all the soils from rhizosphere and nonrhizosphere of *H. rhamnoides*. The population counts of PSM were higher in rhizosphere soils than those in non-rhizosphere soils. The results showed a positive rhizosphere influence on the population of phosphate solubilising bacteria (PSB) and fungi (PSF) by *H. rhamnoides*. Also the proportion of PSM was low to the total populations of these organisms. The contribution of PSM to the total population was reported to be more in MPVK and NBRIP agar than that on PVK agar. The occurrence of PSB to total bacterial population ranged from 5.17-9.03 on MPVK and 5.06-8.40 on NBRIP agar in rhizosphere. The frequency of PSF to total fungal population varied from 8.38-9.82 on MPVK and 8.61-10.00 on NBRIP agar in rhizosphere. The occurrence of P solubilisers in higher numbers in the rhizosphere is of direct significance to plants as it helps in mobilization of insoluble P, especially in P deficient soils. The highest occurrence of PSM to total microbial population was reported in Theorat (Table 2). The low total viable counts of bacteria and fungi as well as low viable counts of PSB and PSF in the soil samples from Lahaul and Spiti valleys as compared to the soils from other climosequences in Himachal Pradesh are possibly due to temperature and moisture factors coupled with soil fertility levels (Gupta *et al*, 1986; Venkateswarlu *et al*, 1984).

Four bacterial viz. HBP1 (*Bacillus* sp.), HBP2 (Unidentified), HBP3 (*Bacillus* sp.) and HBP4 (*Micrococcus*) and four fungal isolates viz. HFP1 (*Penicillium* sp.), HFP2 (Unidentified), HFP3 (*Penicillium* sp) and HFP4 (*Aspergillus* sp.) tested for P solubilisation using TCP, MRP and URP in PVK and NBRIP broths brought about drop in pH of culture medium (Table 3). Amongst bacterial isolates HBP1 (*Bacillus* sp.) represented appreciable solubilisation of TCP (111.3  $\mu$ g/ml in NBRIP and 111.3  $\mu$ g/ml in PVK) followed by MRP (70.3  $\mu$ g/ml in NBRIP and 63.0  $\mu$ g/ml in PVK) and URP (51.4  $\mu$ g/ml in NBRIP and 48.9  $\mu$ g/ml in PVK) while the HBP4 (*Micrococcus*) the least. This isolate represented maximum fall in pH of filtrate in NBRIP broth (from 6.8 to 5.87) than that in PVK broth (from 6.8 to 5.98) during MRP solubilisation amongst rock phosphates. Similarly among the fungal isolates HFP1 (*Penicillium* sp.) solubilised highest TCP (100.0  $\mu$ g/ml in NBRIP and 91.3  $\mu$ g/ml in PVK) followed by MRP (59.6  $\mu$ g/ml in NBRIP and 54.2  $\mu$ g/ml in PVK) and URP (35.2  $\mu$ g/ml in NBRIP and 33.7  $\mu$ g/ml in PVK). This isolate exhibited maximum fall in pH

from 6.8 to 5.97 in NBRIP broth and from 6.8 to 6.06 in PVK broth during MRP solubilisation. In the process of solubilisation of inorganic P, the PSM might also be exerting influence on soil alkalinity by decreasing pH of soil. The screened microorganisms viz. HBP1 (*Bacillus* sp.) and HBP2 (unidentified) after incubation in PVK broth could solubilise TCP and produced mainly citric and oxalic acids while HFP1 (*Penicillium* sp.) produced oxalic acid (Table 4). These organic acids seem to be responsible for drop in pH. Our results are in consonance with that of Gaind and Gaur., 1989 and Narsian and Patel, 2000.

#### Effect of pH on P Solubilisation

Solubilisation of phosphate sources by bacterial isolates increased with change in pH from neutral to alkaline range, while higher solubilisation of phosphate by fungal isolates was obtained with decrease in pH from neutral to acidic range.

Out of four bacterial isolates two viz. HBP1 (*Bacillus* sp.) and HBP2 (Unidentified) and four fungal isolates two viz. HFP1 (*Penicillium* sp.) and HFP2 (Unidentified) were tested for their P dissolution abilities at different pH values varying from acidic (pH 5) to alkaline (pH 9). The bacterial isolate HBP1 (*Bacillus* sp.) solubilised maximum TCP (116.2  $\mu$ g/ml in NBRIP and 112.2  $\mu$ g/ml in PVK), followed by MRP (82.6  $\mu$ g/ml in NBRIP and 79.0  $\mu$ g/ml in PVK) and URP (53.1  $\mu$ g/ml in NBRIP and 51.7  $\mu$ g/ml in PVK) at pH 9 while minimum at pH 5. The bacterial isolates represented a positive correlation of P solubilisation on increase in pH values (r = 0.569\*\*\* in PVK and 0.570\*\*\* in NBRIP). The relationship of P solubilisation unit (Y  $\mu$ g/ml) with increase in pH values (X) can be represented in the form of regression equation.

In Bacterial isolates

i) During TCP solubilisation

-)		$Y = 14.59 X - 10.93, R^2 = 0.85$
ii)	During MRP solubilisation	·····
	-	$Y = 11.52 X - 23.61, R^2 = 0.86$
iii)	During URP solubilisation	2
		$Y = 7.21 X - 10.24, R^2 = 0.83$

The equation shows that the slope is positive so at lower pH, P dissolution is less. The TCP is much more solubilised than that of MRP and URP as the value of slope is more in TCP as compared to that of MRP and URP. Moreover the value of intercept is highest in TCP, followed by RPs, representing highest dissolution of TCP. There is much variability amongst bacterial isolates during P solubilisation as the value of 
$$R^2$$
 is more.

Amongst the fungal isolates HFP1 (*Penicillium* sp.) solubilised maximum TCP (88.1  $\mu$ g/ml in NBRIP and 86.6  $\mu$ g/ml in PVK) followed by MRP (60.1  $\mu$ g/ml in NBRIP and 58.7  $\mu$ g/ml in PVK) and URP (47.4  $\mu$ g/ml in NBRIP and 45.7  $\mu$ g/ml in PVK) at pH 5 while it recorded minimum P solubilisation at pH 9 (Table 5). A negative correlation of P dissolution with increase in pH values was reported (r = -0.581\*\*\* in PVK and -0.556\*\*\* in NBRIP). The relationship of P solubilisation with increase in pH during P solubilisation in fungal isolates in equation form can be represented as During TCP solubilisation

I) 
$$Y = -9.135X + 133.5, R^2 = 0.79$$

II) 
$$Y = -8.20X + 102.69, R^2 = 0.82$$

During MRP solubilisation

During URP solubilisation

III) 
$$Y = -6.22X + 77.28$$
,  $R^2 = 0.74$ 

The equation shows that the slope is negative in fungal isolates so at lower pH values the P dissolution is more. The value of intercept is more in TCP, followed by MRP and URP, hence TCP

is solubilised easily followed by MRP and URP. The value of  $R^2$  is more indicating that there is much more variation among both the fungal isolates.

The results showed that the pH of nutrient medium influences the performance of PSM. Gaur, 1990 observed that bacteria represented maximum dissolution at pH 7 to 8 while the fungi between 5 to 6. The concentration of hydroxyl ions is one of the important factors determining the P solubilising activity of microorganisms and also the availability of P in soil (Gaind and Gaur, 1989). Organic acids produced by PSM chelate calcium ions to bring P into solution (Randall *et al* 2001, Gresshoff et al, 2014).

#### Effect of NaCl on P solubilisation

To study the effect of high salt on P solubilisation abilities of microbes, the screened two bacterial and two fungal isolates were grown in the presence of high salt (2.5, 5, 7.5 and 10 % w/v NaCl). All these strains demonstrated diverse level of P solubilisation. The P dissolution abilities of PSB were higher than control (0% w/v NaCl) in the presence of 2.5 % w/v NaCl. It seemed therefore that the PSB from slightly alkaline soils have the potential to solubilise P at 2.5 % w/v NaCl. HBP1 (Bacillus sp.) proved to be the most efficient strain in solubilising TCP individually in the presence of 2.5 % w/v NaCl (111.3 µg/ml in NBRIP and 108.5 µg/ml in PVK). The maximum decrease in the pH of filtrate was also reported at this salt concentration. A decreasing trend in P solubilisation was observed with increase in salt concentration from 5% w/v to 10% w/v NaCl, resulting in increase in pH of culture filtrate. During rock phosphate solubilisation, this bacterial isolate solubilised maximum MRP (71.6 µg/ml in NBRIP and 69.4 µg/ml in PVK) followed by URP at 2.5% w/v NaCl and further increase in salt concentration resulted in decrease in RP solubilisation. Our results are in consonance with that of Gaind et al, 1999. The bacterial isolate HBP2 (unidentified) could not solubilise URP at 10% w/v NaCl. With the increase in salt concentration the P dissolution was found to decrease ( $r = -0.669^{***}$  in PVK and  $-0.688^{***}$  in NBRIP). Similarly, a negative correlation of pH decrease of broth with increase in salt concentration was reported (r =  $-0.419^{**}$  in PVK and  $-0.476^{***}$  in NBRIP). On the other hand, amongst fungal isolates HFP1 (Penicillium sp.) represented highest potential to solubilise P in control than all other concentrations. Both the fungal isolates, HFP1 (Penicillium sp.) and HFP2 (Unidentified) showed nil solubilisation at 10% w/v NaCl during rock phosphate dissolution in control than all other concentrations (Table 6). With increase in salt concentration, the P dissolution was decreased (r = -0.694\*\*\* in PVK and -0.704\*\*\* in NBRIP). The opposite correlation of salt concentration with drop in pH was reported ( $r = -0.617^{***}$  in PVK and  $-0.615^{***}$  in NBRIP).

#### **Effect of Temperature on P Solubilisation**

To determine the effect of temperature on P solubilisation abilities of microbes, the isolates were grown at various temperatures  $(9^{\circ}, 12^{\circ}, 18^{\circ}, 24^{\circ}, 35^{\circ} \text{ and } 40^{\circ} \text{ C})$ . A diverse level of P dissolution was observed at higher temperatures viz.  $35^{\circ}$  and  $40^{\circ}$  C. The dissolution potentials of microbes were found to be highest at  $24^{\circ}$  C temperature (mesophilic conditions). The highly efficient bacteria HBP1 (*Bacillus* sp.) solubilised maximum P (106.5 µg/ml in NBRIP and 100.9 µg/ml in PVK) and represented maximum fall in pH of filtrate at  $24^{\circ}$  C. The solubilisation efficacy of this isolate was reported to be at par at  $18^{\circ}$  C and  $35^{\circ}$  C followed by  $12^{\circ}$ ,  $9^{\circ}$  and  $40^{\circ}$  C. The maximum solubilisation and highest decrease in pH of filtrate was reported during MRP solubilisation (61.2 µg/ml in NBRIP and 59.5 µg/ml in PVK) and least during URP solubilisation (51.4 µg/ml in NBRIP and 48.6 µg/ml in PVK) at  $24^{\circ}$  C. Amongst PSF, the isolate HFP1 (*Penicillium* sp.) solubilised maximum P (94.1 µg/ml in NBRIP and 92.8 µg/ml in PVK) at  $24^{\circ}$  C, followed by  $18^{\circ}$ ,  $35^{\circ}$ ,  $12^{\circ}$ ,  $9^{\circ}$  and  $40^{\circ}$  C. This isolate solubilised maximum MRP (59.2 µg/ml in NBRIP and 57.3 µg/ml in PVK) and least URP (46.6 µg/ml in NBRIP and 44.5 µg/ml in PVK) at  $24^{\circ}$  C. The highest fall in pH of

filtrate was reported under mesophilic conditions in all the PSMs (Table 7). Illmer and Schinner, 1992 and Tariq et al, 2014 also observed that the highest solubilisation of P occurs at  $30^{\circ}$  and  $25^{\circ}$ C temperature, respectively.

#### Dissolution of Inorganic Phosphates by Interacting P solubilisers in Solution Culture

The relative P solubilisation efficacies of screened two PSB viz. HBP1 (*Bacillus* sp.), HBP2 (Unidentified) and one PSF viz. HFP1 (*Penicillium* sp.) were tested either singly or in combinations using different sources of inorganic P viz. TCP, MRP and URP in PVK and NBRIP broths. HBP1 (*Bacillus* sp.) and HBP2 (unidentified) bacterial isolates solubilised maximum P in combinations (112.4  $\mu$ g/ml of TCP solubilised in NBRIP and 110.3  $\mu$ g/ml in PVK, 70.0  $\mu$ g/ml of MRP solubilised in NBRIP and 69.5  $\mu$ g/ml in PVK, 54.9  $\mu$ g/ml of URP solubilised in NBRIP and 53.2  $\mu$ g/ml in PVK) than individually. The interaction of HBP1 (*Bacillus* sp.), HBP2 (Unidentified) and HFP1 (*Penicillium* sp.) resulted in highest P solubilisation indicating synergistic effect of microbes (122.5  $\mu$ g/ml of TCP solubilised in NBRIP and 120.8  $\mu$ g/ml in PVK, 72.9  $\mu$ g/ml of MRP solubilised in NBRIP and 70.9  $\mu$ g/ml in PVK, 57.9  $\mu$ g/ml of URP solubilised in NBRIP and 54.6  $\mu$ g/ml in PVK). A direct correlation was reported between increase in P solubilisation with decrease in pH of filtrate (Table 8). The interactive effect and P dissolution was proved to be better in NBRIP than that in PVK broth.

#### Table 1 Physicochemical properties of some Lahaul and Spiti Valley soils

_	pН	EC	Organic	Available P	Available K	Total N
Area		(mmhos/cm)	Carbon (%)	(Kg/ ha)	(Kg/ ha)	(%)
Trilokinath	7.0	0.35	0.77	34.4	263.2	0.076
Jhalma	6.9	0.69	1.00	35.6	274.4	0.081
Theorat	7.0	0.44	0.89	35.4	266.0	0.079
Keylong	7.1	0.37	1.22	38.6	310.8	0.082
Kukumseri	6.9	0.42	0.72	33.1	254.8	0.076
Rong Tong	7.6	0.68	0.09	30.2	145.6	0.026

Location	Soil	Bacteri	a						Fungi							
	Sample	Mean plate count /gram soil (10 <sup>3</sup> )								Mean plate count /gram soil (10 <sup>5</sup> )						
		Total	P-Solubilisers			Per Solul	Per cent P- Solubilisers		Total	l P-Solubilisers				Per cent P-Solubilisers (Range)		
			PVK	MPVK	NBRIP	PV K	MPV K	NBRI P		PVK	MPVK	NBRIP	PVK	MPVK	NBRIP	
Trilokinath	R	227.3	11.50	12.95	12.45	5.05	5.69	5.47	75.45	5.50	6.80	6.50	7.28	9.01	8.61	
	NR	89.95	3.45	4.80	4.65	3.83	5.33	5.16	57.45	2.50	3.65	3.50	4.35	6.35	6.09	
Jhalma	R	173.95	7.63	9.00	9.15	4.38	5.17	5.26	51.00	3.94	5.01	5.03	7.72	9.82	9.86	
	NR	67.15	1.66	2.08	1.98	2.47	3.09	2.94	46.65	2.66	3.83	3.66	5.70	8.21	7.84	
Theorat	R	246.8	20.15	22.30	20.75	8.16	9.03	8.40	83.60	6.65	7.80	7.50	7.95	9.33	8.97	
	NR	94.30	5.15	6.45	6.45	5.46	6.83	6.83	63.95	4.45	5.45	5.15	6.95	8.52	8.05	
Keylong	R	218.65	15.80	17.60	17.75	7.22	8.04	8.11	67.65	5.00	6.40	6.10	7.39	9.46	9.01	
	NR	66.65	1.16	1.66	1.60	1.74	2.49	2.40	50.00	3.20	4.20	3.55	6.40	8.40	7.10	
Kukumseri	R	164.5	8.80	10.15	9.80	5.34	6.17	5.95	54.95	4.00	5.15	5.50	7.27	9.37	10.00	
	NR	72.30	2.65	3.60	3.00	3.66	4.97	4.14	45.50	2.80	3.65	3.55	6.15	8.02	7.80	
Rong Tong	R	175.8	7.45	9.10	8.90	4.23	5.17	5.06	55.45	3.15	4.65	5.05	5.68	8.38	9.10	
	NR	70.60	1.15	1.31	1.35	1.62	1.85	1.91	47.65	2.30	3.50	3.50	4.82	7.34	7.34	

## Table 2 Phosphate solubilising microorganisms from Lahaul and Spiti valleys

## Table 3 Phosphate solubilisation ( $\mu$ g/ml) and pH of filtrate in Pikovskaya and NBRIP broth

Phosphate sources		ТСР		MRP		URP	
Media Isolates		PVK	NBRIP	PVK	NBRIP	PVK	NBRIP
Bacteria				ļ	1	1	1
HBP1 (Bacillus sp.)	Р	$106.9 \pm 1.30$	111.3 ±	$63.0\pm0.251$	$70.3\pm0.493$	$\textbf{48.9} \pm \textbf{0.321}$	$51.4\pm0.450$
	solubilisation		0.776				
	pН	$5.39 \pm 0.015$	$5.17\pm0.020$	$5.98 \pm 0.011$	$5.87 \pm 0.020$	$6.11\pm0.015$	$6.07\pm0.020$
HBP2	Р	$95.5\pm0.458$	100.5 ±	$51.4\pm0.450$	$60.4 \pm 0.907$	$39.5\pm0.500$	$43.3\pm0.264$
(Unidentified)	solubilisation		0.888				
	pН	$5.72\pm0.025$	$5.18\pm0.062$	$6.07\pm0.015$	$\textbf{6.01} \pm \textbf{0.010}$	$6.21\pm0.010$	$6.12\pm0.015$
HBP3 (Bacillus sp.)	Р	$89.9 \pm 2.92$	$94.6\pm0.642$	$46.6 \pm 0.665$	$\textbf{48.5} \pm \textbf{1.11}$	$35.7\pm0.585$	$40.9\pm0.529$
	solubilisation						
	pН	$5.82\pm0.025$	$5.48 \pm 0.020$	$6.11\pm0.020$	$6.04\pm0.020$	$6.32\pm0.0251$	$6.20\pm0.010$
HBP4	Р	$85.4\pm0.568$	$89.6 \pm 0.556$	$41.5\pm1.13$	$\textbf{45.4} \pm \textbf{0.404}$	$35.1\pm0.500$	$37.2\pm0.305$
(Micrococcus)	solubilisation						
	pН	$5.92\pm0.025$	$5.62\pm0.026$	$\textbf{6.13} \pm \textbf{0.015}$	$\textbf{6.08} \pm \textbf{0.017}$	$\textbf{6.4} \pm \textbf{0.015}$	$\textbf{6.28} \pm \textbf{0.010}$
Fungi							
HFP1 (Penicillium	Р	$\textbf{91.3} \pm \textbf{1.17}$	100.0 ±	$54.2\pm0.321$	$59.6\pm0.416$	$33.7 \pm 0.264$	$35.2\pm0.208$
sp.)	solubilisation		0.503				
	pН	$\textbf{5.78} \pm \textbf{0.030}$	$5.41\pm0.020$	$6.06\pm0.025$	$5.97 \pm 0.025$	$\boldsymbol{6.10 \pm 0.010}$	$6.05\pm0.015$
HFP2	Р	$85.6\pm0.400$	$90.7\pm0.264$	$50.5\pm0.814$	$54.6\pm0.602$	$30.3\pm0.264$	$33.3\pm0.321$
(Unidentified Non-	solubilisation						
sporulating)	pН	$5.90\pm0.025$	$5.63\pm0.010$	$6.10\pm0.005$	$6.03\pm0.015$	$\textbf{6.15} \pm \textbf{0.020}$	$6.09\pm0.020$
HFP3 (Penicillium	Р	$80.5\pm0.700$	$\textbf{86.1} \pm \textbf{0.264}$	$\textbf{46.4} \pm \textbf{0.404}$	$50.2\pm0.953$	$29.6 \pm 0.472$	$\textbf{31.9} \pm \textbf{0.416}$
sp.)	solubilisation						
	рН	$5.96 \pm 0.025$	$5.71\pm0.010$	$6.11\pm0.015$	$6.08 \pm 0.015$	$6.17 \pm 0.015$	$6.11\pm0.015$
HFP4 (Aspergillus	Р	$76.2\pm0.251$	$83.2 \pm 0.305$	$39.4 \pm 0.305$	$\textbf{41.7} \pm \textbf{1.41}$	$25.5\pm0.568$	$27.2 \pm 0.208$
sp.)	solubilisation						
	pН	$6.01\pm0.020$	$5.91\pm0.020$	$6.18 \pm 0.017$	$6.10\pm0.010$	$6.26\pm0.020$	$6.17 \pm 0.020$

# Table 4 Detection of organic acids in culture filtrate of organism tested bypaperchromatography

Test organism	Rf value	Organic acid
	Bacteria	
HBP1 (Bacillus sp.)	0.170	Citric acid
HBP2 (Unidentified)	0.160	Oxalic acid
	Fungus	
HFP1 (Penicillium sp.)	0.158	Oxalic acid

# Table 5 Effect of pH on phosphate solubilisation ( $\mu$ g/ml) by microbial isolates in Pikovskaya and NBRIP broth

solates	Phosph	h pH Values										
	ate	5		6		7	•		8		9	
	sources	PVK	NBRIP	PVK	NBRIP	PVK	NBRIP		PVK	NBRIP	PVK	NBRIP
Bacteria												
IIDD4	TCP	56.5±0.950	59.8 ± 0.529	77.5 ± 0.360	79.3 ± 0.200	106.6 ± 3.01	110.4 : 0.854	±	110.7 ± 0.721	115.9 ± 0.305	112.2 ± 0.360	116.2 ± 0.208
HBP1 ( <i>Bacillus</i>	MRP	30.5±0.404	32.0 ± 0.152	49.1 ± 0.152	51.2 ± 0.251	68.3 ± 0.152	71.0 0.953	±	73.2 ± 0.1	75.6±0.400	79.0 ± 0.208	82.6±0.458
sp.)	URP	22.5 ± 0.400	25.1 ± 0.500	33.4 ± 0.208	39.7 ± 0.264	47.5 ± 0.458	50.3 0.200	±	50.2 ± 0.305	51.6±0.200	51.7±0.360	53.1±0.971
IIDDA	TCP	52.3 ± 0.264	54.5 ± 0.450	70.8 ± 0.351	73.4 ± 0.550	98.8 ± 0.305	99.6 0.320	±	102.9 ± 0.360	109.2 ± 1.05	107.5 ± 0.458	110.2 ± 0.251
HBP2 (Unidenti	MRP	27.3 ± 0.251	28.6 ± 0.435	40.3 ± 0.264	42.3 ± 0.264	59.2 ± 0.251	62.3 0.472	±	$61.8\pm0.7$	$65.2 \pm 0.305$	68.2 ± 0.152	$72.5 \pm 0.550$
fied)	URP	19.3 ± 0.152	20.2 ± 0.251	30.5 ± 0.351	32.7 ± 0.458	42.5 ± 0.351	45.3 0.818	±	44.7 ± 0.351	45.8±0.721	48.1±0.152	50.4 ± 0.404
Fungi	1	1	1								I	
	ТСР	86.6±0.458	88.1 ± 0.100	83.5 ± 0.458	84.6 ± 0.300	82.0 ± 0.346	86.2 0.251	±	62.4 ± 0.450	64.2 ± 0.305	48.5±0.351	$51.4 \pm 0.450$
HFP1 ( <i>Penicilli</i>	MRP	58.7±0.568	60.1 ± 0.100	55.3 ± 0.264	57.3 ± 0.305	52.9 ± 0.608	56.5 : 0.461	±	40.4 ± 0.450	42.3 ± 0.472	23.8±0.208	29.2 ± 0.916
um sp.)	URP	45.7 ± 0.200	47.4 ± 0.208	43.7 ± 0.360	44.9 ± 0.650	40.1 ± 0.115	43.0 : 0.781	±	33.7 ± 0.152	36.3 ± 0.404	$20.2 \pm 0.321$	23.7±0.568
HFP2	ТСР	78.0 ± 0.057	81.5 ± 0.351	71.2 ± 0.251	74.5 ± 0.404	70.2 ± 0.251	73.3 : 0.208	±	58.2 ± 0.305	61.2 ± 0.251	40.4 ± 0.378	45.1 ± 0.642
Unidentif ied Non-	MRP	52.5±0.458	56.4 ± 0.611	51.1 ± 0.721	54.2 ± 0.200	49.4 ± 0.435	52.2 0.208	±	33.7 ± 0.416	36.2 ± 0.100	20.1 ± 0.100	$23.2\pm0.321$
sporulati ng)	URP	39.3±0.208	41.2 ± 0.200	38.1 ± 2.77	35.8 ± 0.721	32.1 ± 0.152	35.0 0.850	±	20.5 ± 0.351	23.1 ± 0.832	13.5±0.493	16.2 ± 0.305

Isolates 1	Phosphat					Sa	lt Concentr	ation NaCl (	w/v)			
(	e sources		0		2.5		5		7.5		10	
			PVK	NBRIP	PVK	NBRIP	PVK	NBRIP	PVK	NBRIP	PVK	NBRIP
Bacteria									1			
	TCP	P solubilisation	106.9±1.30	111.3 ± 0.776	108.5±0.550	111.6±0.568	78.6±0.568	81.8±0.700	25.7±0.360	26.0 ± 0.100	1.9±0.100	2.1 ± 0.100
(Bacillus		рН	5.39 ± 0.015	5.18±0.062	5.38 ± 0.010	5.35±0.015	5.8±0.010	5.75±0.015	$5.97 \pm 0.010$	$5.92 \pm 0.020$	$6.32 \pm 0.005$	6.28±0.015
sp.)	MRP	P solubilisation	63.0±0.251	70.3 ± 0.493	69.4 ± 0.503	71.6±0.208	19.9±0.300	22.7±0.152	8.3±0.208	10.4 ± 0.208	1.1 ± 0.057	1.56±0.152
		рН	5.98±0.011	5.87±0.020	6.10 ± 0.015	6.07±0.015	6.20±0.015	$6.14 \pm 0.010$	$6.22 \pm 0.005$	$6.19\pm0.010$	6.54±0.005	6.51±0.010
	URP	P solubilisation	48.9±0.321	51.4 ± 0.450	40.9 ± 1.02	43.9±0.300	12.8±0.208	16.7±0.152	5.2±0.152	7.9±0.057	1.0±0.057	1.33±0.152
		pН	$6.11 \pm 0.015$	$6.07 \pm 0.020$	$6.16\pm0.010$	$6.13\pm0.011$	$6.26\pm0.026$	$6.18\pm0.005$	$6.25\pm0.005$	$6.22\pm0.015$	$6.62\pm0.005$	$6.58 \pm 0.017$
	TCP	P solubilisation	95.5±0.458	100.5 ± 0.888	98.5±0.757	101.5±0.400	69.2±0.305	71.4±0.321	23.6±0.838	27.5±1.53	1.6±0.173	1.8±0.115
(Unidenti		рН	5.72 ± 0.025	$5.17 \pm 0.020$	$5.71 \pm 0.020$	$5.65 \pm 0.005$	5.98±0.015	$5.90\pm0.015$	$6.07 \pm 0.032$	$6.01\pm0.015$	$6.36\pm0.015$	$6.29\pm0.005$
fied	MRP	P solubilisation	51.4±0.450	60.4 ± 0.907	56.0 ± 0.100	56.7±0.321	16.5±0.416	19.3±0.264	5.1±0.152	7.9±0.251	1.0 ± 0.057	1.66±0.251
		рН	6.07±0.015	$6.01 \pm 0.010$	$6.14\pm0.005$	6.11±0.015	$6.21 \pm 0.010$	$6.18\pm0.020$	$6.24 \pm 0.025$	$6.19\pm0.015$	$6.58 \pm 0.015$	6.54±0.020
	URP	P solubilisation	39.5±0.500	43.3 ± 0.264	36.5±0.550	39.7±0.378	10.8±0.305	12.7±0.152	3.4±0.152	4.5±0.100	0.0 ± 0.00	0.0±0.00
		pH	$6.21 \pm 0.010$	$6.12 \pm 0.015$	$6.18 \pm 0.005$	$6.14 \pm 0.025$	$6.28 \pm 0.005$	$6.26\pm0.010$	$6.29\pm0.011$	$6.25 \pm 0.015$	•	•
Fungi												
	TCP	P solubilisation	91.3±1.17	100.0 ± 0.503	86.2±0.251	89.0±0.503	49.1±1.24	53.3±1.15	22.7 ± 0.763	25.1 ± 0.702	2.0 ± 0.00	2.2 ± 0.152
(Penicilli		рН	5.78±0.030	$5.41 \pm 0.020$	5.85±0.015	5.79±0.015	$6.02 \pm 0.005$	$5.99\pm0.015$	$6.14\pm0.001$	$6.10\pm0.010$	$6.29\pm0.015$	$6.26\pm0.005$
um sp.)	MRP	P solubilisation	54.2 ± 0.321	59.6±0.416	17.8±0.971	19.5±0.404	8.8±0.264	10.2±0.208	3.8±0.208	4.2 ± 0.152	0.0 ± 0.00	0.0 ± 0.00
		pH	6.06 ± 0.025	5.97 ± 0.025	$6.17\pm0.011$	$6.12 \pm 0.020$	6.21±0.005	$6.19\pm0.010$	$6.27 \pm 0.005$	$6.24\pm0.015$	•	
	URP	P solubilisation	33.7±0.264	35.2 ± 0.208	12.4 ± 0.264	13.5±0.305	5.6±0.35	8.1±0.152	2.2 ± 0.208	3.9 ± 0.208	0.0 ± 0.00	0.0 ± 0.00
		pH	$6.10 \pm 0.010$	6.05 ± 0.015	6.25 ± 0.005	$6.22 \pm 0.015$	$6.25 \pm 0.010$	$6.21\pm0.015$	$6.38 \pm 0.015$	$6.34\pm0.015$	•	
	TCP	P solubilisation	85.6±0.400	90.7 ± 0.264	81.9±1.40	84.9±0.264	42.3±0.737	45.4±0.700	21.4±1.05	22.9±0.435	1.6±0.115	2.0 ± 0.173
Unidentif		рН	5.90±0.025	5.63 ± 0.010	5.97 ± 0.015	5.93±0.005	6.07±0.015	$6.01\pm0.010$	$6.16 \pm 0.0208$	$6.13\pm0.010$	$6.35 \pm 0.020$	$6.31\pm0.011$
ied Non- sporulati	MRP	P solubilisation	50.5±0.814	54.6±0.602	15.4±0.251	17.1±0.115	5.9±0.115	6.5±0.200	2.5 ± 0.200	3.2±0.251	0.0 ± 0.00	0.0±0.00
-		рН	6.10±0.005	6.03 ± 0.015	6.21±0.010	6.19±0.010	6.25±0.005	$6.22 \pm 0.020$	6.31±0.015	$6.25 \pm 0.011$	•	•
ng)	URP	P solubilisation	30.3 ± 0.264	33.3±0.321	10.4±0.208	12.9±0.100	3.0±0.264	4.4±0.300	1.8±0.057	2.0±0.152	0.0 ± 0.00	0.0 ± 0.00
		рН	$6.15 \pm 0.020$	$6.09 \pm 0.020$	$6.23\pm0.020$	$6.20 \pm 0.017$	$6.29\pm0.010$	$6.36\pm0.011$	$6.43\pm0.010$	$6.38\pm0.015$	•	•

# Table 6 Effect of salt on phosphate solubilisation ( $\mu$ g/ml) by microbial isolates in Pikovskaya and NBRIP broth

Initial pH = 6.8

						UIU									
Isolates	Phosphate		Temper	ature (°C)											
	sources		9		12		18		24		35		40		
			PVK	NBRIP	PVK	NBRIP	PVK	NBRIP	PVK	NBRIP	PVK	NBRIP	PVK		NBRIP
Bacteria															
HBP1	ТСР	Р	32.8 ±	35.8 ±	59.7 ±	60.2 ±	87.6 ±	90.5 ±	100.9 ±	106.5 ±	88.7 ±	89.4 ±	27.6	±	28.6 ±
(Bacillus sp.)		solubilisation	0.251	0.251	0.416	0.305	0.838	0.529	0.818	0.493	1.04	0.450	0.550		0.435
		pН	6.09 ±	6.06 ±	5.83 ±	5.80 ±	5.73 ±	5.70 ±	5.45 ±	5.41 ±	5.78 ±	5.74 ±	6.17	±	6.15 ±
		-	0.020	0.00	0.010	0.011	0.030	0.020	0.010	0.011	0.011	0.015	0.005		0.015
	MRP	Р	$18.5 \pm$	19.8 ±	$24.2 \pm$	26.2 ±	$36.6 \pm$	39.2 ±	59.5 ±	61.2 ±	29.4 ±	32.4 ±	14.6	±	16.6 ±
		solubilisation	0.409	0.300	0.251	0.321	0.416	0.321	0.2	0.251	0.264	0.971	0.208		0.458
		pН	6.21 ±	6.17 ±	6.14 ±	6.11 ±	6.08 ±	6.05 ±	6.04 ±	6.00 ±	6.09 ±	6.07 ±	6.23	±	6.19 ±
			0.011	0.005	0.025	0.010	0.011	0.005	0.020	0.005	0.015	0.011	0.015		0.005
	URP	Р	$11.9 \pm$	$13.9 \pm$	$21.8 \pm$	$23.2 \pm$	$29.5 \pm$	31.6 ±	48.6 ±	51.4 ±	$21.2 \pm$	$26.1 \pm$	10.3	±	$12.2 \pm$
		solubilisation	0.264	0.264	0.519	0.264	0.550	0.458	0.550	0.208	1.069	0.832	0.2		0.264
		рН	6.27 ±	6.22 ±	6.19 ±	6.16 ±	6.11 ±	6.07 ±	6.08 ±	6.02 ±	6.12 ±	6.09 ±	6.32	±	6.28 ±
			0.005	0.00	0.005	0.015	0.005	0.015	0.010	0.015	0.015	0.010	0.010		0.015
HBP2	ТСР	Р	29.6 ±	33.2 ±	$51.5 \pm$	52.9 ±	79.2 ±	80.6 ±	$10.2 \pm$	93.3 ±	70.6 ±	74.6 ±	19.4	±	23.3 ±
(Unidentified		solubilisation	0.556	0.321	0.351	0.776	0.888	0.450	0.251	0.200	0.450	0.550	0.400		0.642
		pН	6.15 ±	6.10 ±	5.68 ±	5.61 ±	5.79 ±	5.75 ±	5.81 ±	5.77 ±	5.87 ±	5.82 ±	6.28	±	6.21 ±
			0.015	0.011	0.010	0.015	0.025	0.043	0.010	0.020	0.015	0.015	0.010		0.015
	MRP	Р	$12.5 \pm$	$13.2 \pm$	$19.4 \pm$	$21.06 \pm$	$30.4 \pm$	$34.2 \pm$	$53.5 \pm$	54.2 ±	22.4 ±	$26.1 \pm$	9.6	±	$10.7 \pm$
		solubilisation	0.351	0.832	0.36	0.152	0.208	1.2	0.208	0.208	0.152	2.05	0.264		0.264
		рН	6.28 ±	6.24 ±	6.19 ±	6.18 ±	6.13 ±	6.08 ±	6.07 ±	6.03 ±	6.12 ±	6.08 ±	6.33	±	6.29 ±
			0.005	0.025	0.015	0.020	0.020	0.015	0.020	0.010	0.025	0.011	0.025	_	0.017
	URP	Р	9.5 ±	$10.2 \pm$	$17.3 \pm$	$18.3 \pm$	$26.4 \pm$	27.9 ±	$42.7 \pm$	45.3 ±	$18.3 \pm$	$21.5 \pm$	8.53	±	9.6 ±
		solubilisation	0.404	0.208	0.416	0.264	0.608	0.152	0.378	0.818	0.321	0.602	0.404	_	0.458
		рН	6.32 ±	6.27 ±	6.24 ±	6.18 ±	6.17 ±	6.13 ±	6.12 ±	6.09 ±	6.20 ±	6.16 ±	6.38	±	6.32 ±
<b>.</b> .			0.010	0.015	0.010	0.015	0.015	0.020	0.005	0.010	0.00	0.015	0.015		0.020
Fungi	TOD	D	20.6.1	242			or = 1								
HFP1 (Penicillium	TCP	P solubilisation	$30.6 \pm$	34.3 ± 0.497	54.6 ±	59.3 ±	81.7 ± 0.493	82.9 ± 0.709	92.8 ±	94.1 ± 0.321	72.6 ±	75.6 ± 0.568	24.8	±	26.3 ±
(Feniciaium sp.)			0.503		0.450	0.754 5.57 ±			0.802		0.435		0.360	-	0.680
sp.)		рН	6.12 ± 0.015	6.08 ± 0.015	5.60 ± 0.010	5.5/ ± 0.011	5.80 ± 0.015	5.78 ± 0.011	5.75 ± 0.005	5.71 ± 0.015	5.92 ± 0.005	5.89 ± 0.005	6.18 0.015	±	6.15 ± 0.011
		Р									$31.4 \pm$	$34.5 \pm$		$ \rightarrow$	
	MRP	P solubilisation	22.3 ± 0.3	24.2 ± 0.655	$28.1 \pm 0.907$	29.9 ± 0.435	39.5 ± 0.351	40.5 ± 0.65	57.3 ± 0.3	59.2 ± 0.294	$31.4 \pm 0.585$	$34.5 \pm 0.838$	16.7 0.404	±	18.4 ± 0.264
			6.18 ±	6.14 ±	6.11 ±	6.08 ±	$6.05 \pm$	6.02 ±	6.01 ±	5.98 ±	6.07 ±	6.03 ±	6.22	±	6.17 ±
		рН	0.18 1	0.010	0.025	0.020	0.03 1	0.02 1	0.010	0.011	0.010	0.005	0.025	-	0.015
	URP	Р	$17.7 \pm$	$18.5 \pm$	$23.2 \pm$	$24.2 \pm$	$30.5 \pm$	$32.5 \pm$	44.5 ±	$46.6 \pm$	$27.4 \pm$	$29.5 \pm$	12.6	±	$15.06 \pm$
	UNI	solubilisation	0.665	0.493	0.360	0.723	0.929	0.450	0.896	0.665	0.1	0.608	0.458	-	0.152
		pH	6.23 ±	6.18 ±	6.18 ±	6.14 ±	6.1 ±	6.06 ±	6.05 ±	6.01 ±	6.09 ±	6.06 ±	6.30	±	6.25 ±
		P	0.015	0.015	0.011	0.015	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.015	0.011	0.025	0.010	-	0.010
HFP2	ТСР	Р	27.7 ±	29.8 ±	49.6 ±	51.3 ±	73.6 ±	74.8 ±	88.5 ±	90.9 ±	66.3 ±	69.5 ±	22.3	±	23.5 ±
Unidentified	101	solubilisation	0.152	0.624	0.513	0.3	0.450	0.550	0.251	0.737	0.173	0.608	0.208	-	1.40
Non-		pH	6.21 ±	6.15 ±	5.93 ±	5.88 ±	5.83 ±	5.80 ±	5.78 ±	5.75 ±	5.85 ±	5.81 ±	6.32	±	6.28 ±
sporulating)		P	0.025	0.15	0.015	0.017	0.010	0.010	0.015	0.005	0.020	0.057	0.017	-	0.015
	MRP	Р	$10.7 \pm$	11.8 ±	$14.2 \pm$	15.3 ±	$25.7 \pm$	$27.6 \pm$	52.5 ±	54.7 ±	10.5 ±	12.4 ±	9.86	±	10.9 ±
		solubilisation	0.251	0.251	0.208	0.472	0.152	0.498	0.550	0.044	0.752	0.208	0.152		0.251
		pH	6.3 ±	6.26 ±	6.28 ±	6.25 ±	6.19 ±	6.15 ±	6.07 ±	6.03 ±	6.11 ±	6.07 ±	6.31	±	6.26 ±
			0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.015	0.015	0.005	0.010	0.015	0.005	0.020	-	0.005
	URP	Р	7.8 ±	8.3 ±	9.6 ±	11.03 ±	20.6 ±	23.0 ±	41.1 ±	43.5 ±	7.53 ±	8.93 ±	8.96	±	9.73 ±
		solubilisation	0.351	0.152	0.5	0.152	0.781	0.404	0.458	0.896	0.404	0.208	0.152		0.152
		pH	6.34 ±	6.28 ±	6.31 ±	6.27 ±	6.26 ±	6.21 ±	6.10 ±	6.06 ±	6.16 ±	6.10 ±	6.35	±	6.31 ±
		•	0.010	0.015	0.015	0.005	0.011	0.015	0.010	0.010	0.015	0.015	0.011		0.015
	1	+													

# Table 7 Effect of temperature on phosphate solubilisation (µg/ml) in Pikovskaya and NBRIP broth

Initial pH = 6.8

Table 8	Effect of P	-solubilisers on	the solubility	of inorganic	sources of phosphates

Medium	Treatment	P solubilised (µg/ml)	Final pH of broth
PVK			
	ТСР		
	HBP1 (Bacillus sp.)	$106.5 \pm 1.30$	$5.39 \pm 0.015$
	HBP2 (Unidentified)	$95.5 \pm 0.458$	$5.72 \pm 0.025$
	HFP1 (Penicillium sp.)	$91.3 \pm 1.17$	$5.78\pm0.030$

	HBP1 ( <i>Bacillus</i> sp.) + HBP2 (Unidentified)	$110.3 \pm 0.709$	$5.31 \pm 0.015$
	HBP1 (Bacillus sp.) + HFP1 (Penicillium sp.)	$107.7 \pm 0.862$	$5.38 \pm 0.017$
	HBP2 (Unidentified) + HFP1 (Penicillium sp.)	$99.6 \pm 0.763$	$5.7 \pm 0.030$
	HBP1 (Bacillus sp.) + HBP2 (Unidentified) +	$120.8\pm0.802$	$5.24 \pm 0.010$
	HFP1 ( <i>Penicillium</i> sp.)		
	MRP		
	HBP1 (Bacillus sp.)	$63.0 \pm 0.251$	$5.98 \pm 0.011$
	HBP2 (Unidentified)	$51.4 \pm 0.450$	$6.07 \pm 0.015$
	HFP1 (Penicillium sp.)	$54.2 \pm 0.321$	$6.06 \pm 0.025$
	HBP1 (Bacillus sp.) + HBP2 (Unidentified)	$69.5 \pm 0.600$	$5.93 \pm 0.015$
	HBP1 (Bacillus sp.) + HFP1 (Penicillium sp.)	$65.9 \pm 0.680$	$5.97 \pm 0.020$
	HBP2 (Unidentified) + HFP1 ( <i>Penicillium</i> sp.)	$56.9 \pm 0.152$	$6.00 \pm 0.020$
	HBP1 (Bacillus sp.) + HBP2 (Unidentified) +	$70.9 \pm 0.737$	$5.90 \pm 0.015$
	HFP1 (Penicillium sp.)		
	URP		
	HBP1 (Bacillus sp.)	$48.9 \pm 0.321$	$6.11 \pm 0.015$
	HBP2 (Unidentified)	$39.5 \pm 0.500$	$6.21 \pm 0.010$
	HFP1 (Penicillium sp.)	$33.7 \pm 0.264$	$6.10 \pm 0.010$
	HBP1 ( <i>Bacillus</i> sp.) + HBP2 (Unidentified)	$53.2 \pm 0.763$	$6.09 \pm 0.030$
	HBP1 (Bacillus sp.) + HFP1 (Penicillium sp.)	$50.0 \pm 0.020$	$6.06 \pm 0.015$
	HBP2 (Unidentified) + HFP1 ( <i>Penicillium</i> sp.)	$45.2 \pm 0.808$	$6.18 \pm 0.030$
	HBP1 (Bacillus sp.) + HBP2 (Unidentified) +	54.6 ± 1.55	$6.04 \pm 0.015$
	HFP1 (Penicillium sp.)		
NBRIP	ТСР		
	HBP1 (Bacillus sp.)	$111.3 \pm 0.776$	$5.17 \pm 0.020$
	HBP2 (Unidentified)	$100.5 \pm 0.888$	$5.18 \pm 0.062$
	HFP1 (Penicillium sp.)	$100.0 \pm 0.503$	$5.41 \pm 0.020$
	HBP1 (Bacillus sp.) + HBP2 (Unidentified)	$112.4 \pm 0.264$	$5.28 \pm 0.010$
	HBP1 (Bacillus sp.) + HFP1 (Penicillium sp.)	$109.0 \pm 0.152$	$5.32 \pm 0.015$
	HBP2 (Unidentified) + HFP1 ( <i>Penicillium</i> sp.)	$102.6 \pm 0.568$	$5.63 \pm 0.015$
	HBP1 ( <i>Bacillus</i> sp.) + HBP2 (Unidentified) +	$122.5 \pm 0.503$	$5.20 \pm 0.011$
	HFP1 (Penicillium sp.)		
	MRP		
	HBP1 (Bacillus sp.)	$70.3 \pm 0.493$	$5.87 \pm 0.020$
	HBP2 (Unidentified)	$60.4 \pm 0.907$	$6.01 \pm 0.010$
	HFP1 (Penicillium sp.)	59.6 ± 0.416	$5.97 \pm 0.025$
	HBP1 (Bacillus sp.) + HBP2 (Unidentified)	$72.0 \pm 0.173$	$5.89 \pm 0.005$
	HBP1 (Bacillus sp.) + HFP1 (Penicillium sp.)	$68.5 \pm 0.416$	$5.93 \pm 0.030$
	HBP2 (Unidentified) + HFP1 ( <i>Penicillium</i> sp.)	$58.8 \pm 0.585$	$5.97 \pm 0.026$
	HBP1 (Bacillus sp.) + HBP2 (Unidentified) +	$72.9 \pm 0.264$	$5.85 \pm 0.011$
	HFP1 (Penicillium sp.)		

URP		
HBP1 (Bacillus sp.)	$51.4\pm0.450$	$6.07\pm0.020$
HBP2 (Unidentified)	$43.3 \pm 0.264$	$6.12\pm0.015$
HFP1 (Penicillium sp.)	$35.2\pm0.208$	$6.05\pm0.015$
HBP1 (Bacillus sp.) + HBP2 (Unidentified)	$54.9\pm0.305$	$6.06\pm0.020$
HBP1 (Bacillus sp.) + HFP1 (Penicillium sp.)	$52.3 \pm 0.251$	$6.02\pm0.005$
HBP2 (Unidentified) + HFP1 (Penicillium sp.)	$48.0\pm0.200$	$6.13\pm0.005$
HBP1 (Bacillus sp.) + HBP2 (Unidentified) +	$57.9 \pm 0.152$	$6.01\pm0.010$
HFP1 (Penicillium sp.)		

#### REFERENCES

[1]. Dey, B.K. **1988**. Phosphate solubilizing organisms in improving fertility status of soil. In *Biofertilizers: Potentialities and Problems*. Ed. By Sen S.P. and Palit, P., Plant Physiology Forum, Naya Prokash, Calcutta p. 237-248.

[2]. Gaind, S. and Gaur, A.C. 1989. Current Science 58: 1208-1211.

[3]. Gaind, Sunita, Gaur, A.C. and Gaind, S **1999**. Indian Journal Experimental Biology 37 (2): 209-210.

[4]. Gaur, A.C. **1990**. Phosphorus solubilizing microorganisms as biofertilizer. Omega Scientiiific Publishers, New Delhi. pp. 1-175.

[5]. Gerke, L. 1992. Z. Pflanzenernahr. Bodenk., 155:17-22.
[6]. Gresshoff, P.M., Hayashi, S., Biswas, B., Mirzaei, S., Indrasumunar, A., Reid, D., Samuel, S., Tollenaere, A., van Hameren, B., Hastwell, A., Scott, P., Ferguson, B.J. 2014. J Plant Physiology doi: 10.1016/j.jplph.2014.05.013.

[7]. Gupta R, Singal R, Shanker A, Kuhad RC and Saxena RK **1994**. J General Applied Microbiology. 40: 255-260

[8]. Gupta, R D, Bhardwaj, K.K.R., Marwah, B.C. and Tripathi, B.R. **1986**. Journal of Indian Society of Soil Science 34: 498-504.

[9]. Illmer, P and Schinner, F. 1992. Soil Biology and Biochemistry. 24:389-395.

[10]. Narsian, Varsha and Patel, H.H. 2000. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 32 (4): 559-565

[11]. Nautiyal C.S. 1999. FEMS Microbiol Letter 170 (1): 265-270

[12]. Nautiyal, C. Shekhar, Bhadauria, Shipra, Kumar, Pradeep, Lal, Hind, Mondal, Rajesh and Verma, Dinesh **2000**. FEMS Microbiology Letters, 182: 291-296.

[13]. Nordmann J. and Nordmann R. **1960**. Organic acids in chromatographic and electrophoretic techniques, (Ed: Ivorsmith). Intersciences Publishers Inc., N.Y., pp 272-89.

[14]. Pikovskaya R.I. **1948**. Microbiologiya 17: 362-370

[15]. Randall P.J., Hayes J.E., Hocking P.J. and Richardson A.E. **2000**. Root exudates in phosphorus acquisition by plants. In: Plant Nutrient Acquisition: New Perspectives (Eds) Ac, N., Arihara, J., Okada, K., Srinivasan, A.). Springer - Verlag, Tokyo, pp 71-100.

[16]. Singh, R.P and Gupta, M.K. 1990. Indian Forester, pp.785-790.

Tariq, M., Hameed, S., Yasmeen, T., Zahid, M., Zafar, M. 2014. World J Microbiology and Biotechnology 30(2):719-25.

[17]. Vazquez, P., Holguin, G., Puente, M.E., Popez Cortes, A and Bashan, Y. **2000**. Biology and Fertility of Soils, 30 (5-6): 460-468.

[18].Venkateswarlu, B., Rao, A.V. and Raina, P. **1984**. Journal Indian Society Soil Science 32: 273-277

[19]. Watanabe F.S. and Olsen S.R. **1965**. Soil Science Society of America Proceedings 29: 677-678